**Chapter 1**

* Presenting both common name and scientific name for all sp at 1st mention.
* Some sps. names are outdated/ typos
  + i.e. *Tadarida* (spelling and application to Australian species), *Chalinolobus*, *Scotorepens*, *M. schreibersii* (subspecies spelling and application to Australian species), *Mormopterus* (application to Australian species)
* Tadarida Australis 🡪 *Austronomus australis*
* Double check *Miniopterus orianae oceanensis*
* Check *Mormopterus sp3* 🡪 *Ozimops petersi/ Mormopterus petersi* (Inland freetail bat)
* Check *Mormopterus sp2* 🡪 Ozimops ridei/ *Mormopterus ridei* (Eastern free-tailed bat)
* Check *Scoterepens sp.* 🡪 *Scotorepens sp. (Parnaby)*/ *Scotorepens orion* (Central-eastern broad-nosed bat)

**Chapter 2**

* To lengthy, need to be more concise (might lost focus to the key findings)
* Method
  + How do you identify species during fly outs? Or do you just get total number of bats exiting? (State up front rater than in the discussion)
    - How does this relate to the data from the recorders ‐ how are they married up?
    - Is there a fair assumption?
    - Explain how the counts were accounted for when many individuals exit at once
  + Explain how you avoid missing or double count bats that fly around the tunnel (as bats were disturbed on the walk-through)
  + Whats the error of the recorder ID work that you have identified 12 sps.
  + Any approaches were taken to determine what a peak in activity was/ was this done simply by interpreting plots of activity
  + Weather information - did bats return to roosts earlier in summer because there was heavy rainfall at that time.
* More can be made about the implications of these findings for management in the discussion – e.g., for rehabilitation works, which time of night should be prioritised to limit any impacts on bats.
* Discussion has some repetition of results in places at the start of each section that could be tightened up a bit, esp. given the discussion is 15+ pages long; it could be condensed.

**Chapter 3**

* more sophisticated analyses could be undertaken to look at habitat selection (use vs availability) of the different bat species.
* Results a bit overlong – reduce size
  + Too much result material was reiterated
* More interpretations in the discussion

**Chapter 4**

* what effect sampling only part of a night had on the conclusions that were made
  + if sampling was undertaken throughout the entire night in summer and winter, would differences between summer and winter be greater
* not possible using acoustics as acoustic activity recorded in the surrounding landscape (Mentioned upfront would be better)
* How accurate is the recorder, ID work for achieving this ‐ what scope is there for error?
* Results – 3rd para. X%?
* Fig 4.2 and 4.3 ‐ which species is which?

**Chapter 5**

* There was also some repetition in the discussion (of results) and then in the general discussion there was further repetition of discussion material (via a summary of each topic) without too much extension on the chapter material (or at least not referenced in light of literature).
* Excise some results preceding interpretive material and perhaps also remove the summary sections (since they come in the general discussion anyway).